IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 17TH DECEMBER 2007 / 26TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 34808 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

JOWINS JOSE, S/O.JOSEPH,
MUNDATTIL HOUSE, PAINKULAM, THODUPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.G.HARIHARAN.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, IDUKKI.**
2. **REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, IDUKKI, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. P.N. SANTHOSH.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 17/12/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C). NO.34808/2007-R:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P.1: COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD. 02/02/2007 FILED BY THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P.2: COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THE STAT, ERNAKULAM MADE IN MVAA.NO.215/2007 DTD. 30/04/2007.

EXT.P.3: COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD. 31/10/2007 FOR THE GRANT OF TEMPORARY PERMIT.

EXT.P.4: COPY OF THE NOTICE OF HEARING FOR CONSIDERING THE PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR REPLACEMENT.

EXT.P.5: COPY OF THE JUDGMENT MADE IN W.P.(C). NO. 33848/2007 DTD. 16/11/2007.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

prv.

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

W.P.(C) 34808 of 2007

Dated: December 17, 2007

JUDGMENT

Implementation of Ext.P2 judgment is sought for. It is submitted by the learned Government Pleader that in implementation of Ext.P2, replacement was allowed. However, the advantage could not be enjoyed by the petitioner as he did not clear the tax arrears of the previous vehicle. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a dispute in relation to that and that he may be given an opportunity to explain the matter to the 2nd respondent.

2. In view of this it is directed that the petitioner will produce a copy of this judgment to the 2nd respondent and thereupon the 2nd respondent will afford the petitioner an opportunity to explain his contentions. Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE

mt/-